

# Guide for SRUC Cereals Open Day

Drumalbin Farm, Lanark 3rd July 2018

Courtesy of J and J Bannatyne Drumalbin Farm, Carmichael, BIGGAR ML12 6PH









## Content

	Page
Introduction and welcome	3
Grain Market Drivers	4
Site information	6
Winter barley agronomy	6
Spring barley agronomy	7
Trials Demonstrated Winter Barley	8
Trials Demonstrated Spring Barley	8
Winter Barley Fungicides	9
Spring Barley Fungicides	9
Using varietal mixtures as an IPM tool	10
Cover Crops and EFA Greening	10
Loss of neonicotinoid cereal seed treatments	11
Acknowledgements	13

#### Introduction

Welcome to our trials open event at Drumalbin for 2018, courtesy of our host farmers J and J Bannatyne. This afternoon we will be showing our winter barley and spring barley trials as well as a demonstration of cover crops that can meet EFA greening requirements and SRDP agri-environment climate scheme options.

Scottish agriculture is in a period of uncertainty with Brexit and it is important farmers secure local markets by providing the necessary quality of grain to our home based cereal markets.

Crops have had to deal with a myriad of environmental conditions this season, with a difficult sowing period at the back end of 2017 followed by rain, snow and a prolonged dry spell in the spring, but despite these pressures, the winter crops have shown themselves to be pretty resilient, which is a testimony to the robustness of the varieties that we grow up here in Scotland. However, the spring barley and oats do look stressed and short due to the late sowing and dry weather this spring.

Disease levels in winter barley and winter wheat were relatively low over the winter months, the cold temperatures keeping disease activity down, although mildew was noticeable on some crops. The snow and the subsequent melt delayed the sowing of spring barley in many areas, however, these late crops then took advantage of the warmer weather in May so crops are not really as far behind and was feared likely at the time of sowing.

There have been further examples in monitoring work last season of new septoria mutants in wheat with reduced sensitivity to the SDHI fungicides, and we picked up examples of mutants at all the SRUC trial sites, so this is not a problem confined to the south of the UK or to Europe. Trial data also shows that where we applied one SDHI the frequency of mutant isolates increases and confirms that mixtures really do help with that, although even in a mix, two applications of an SDHI still resulted in more mutations.

We are discussing and showing integrated methods of growing crops and managing disease at this open event. Tonight we will discuss the latest issues with ramularia resistance. Latest SRUC research shows that ramularia has shifted significantly in its sensitivity on the last two seasons.

Using more resistant varieties and tailoring treatments to the actual risk in the crop we can reduce our reliance on fungicides. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) offers potential win:wins in terms of business efficiency and sustainability and is not something that is alien to current grower practices. The use of resistant varieties with improved resistance ratings is already common practice as is walking fields and monitoring crops so that inputs can be tailored to actual risks. For more ideas about IPM then look around the site this evening and then consider filling out a Scottish IPM plan to assess what the specific risks are on your farm, what you do already and to get ideas on other measures you can take. The plan comprises 30 simple questions (and that includes things like your name and email), takes just a few minutes to complete and your plan will be emailed back to you. The data is of course anonymised so your identity is protected.

#### Key Principles to consider today

- Look at the best varietal recommendations for Scottish markets
- Look at the impact of variety and tailored pesticide programmes on disease pressure at the site
- New alternatives to pesticides that might be developed
- IPM has to be tailored to your site what have you adopted and what might you do in the future?
- We are losing tools hear the latest on pesticides at risk and resistance management

#### Key Recommendations

- Make an IPM plan for your farm at http://bit.ly/pestmanagementplan
- Make use of multisite fungicides like chlorothalonil in fungicide mixtures to minimise the risk of fungicide resistance
- Identify your key pest, weed and disease risks
- Where possible select varieties that reduce these risks and are favoured by the distillers
- Plan agronomy to minimise the main risks
- Monitor crops and tailor pesticides to the in-season issues

#### **Grain Market Drivers**

#### Key Principles to consider today

- World grain stocks to fall to lowest level in 6 years. With world grain demand set to exceed production for the second year running world stocks are predicted to fall to 77 days of supply in 2018, down by 14 days in two years. This reduces the buffer if production is disrupted. Crop problems are being seen; mainly drought, in most major producers including; US, Canada, Australia, Russia and parts of the EU (including Scotland!). Russia became the world's largest wheat exporter in 2017 so further crop problems would quickly drive our wheat prices higher. A few weeks remain for weather to affect Northern Hemisphere crops especially maize. Oilseeds prices are falling on large expected; US and S American soya crops, EU rape crops are looking poorer than first thought.
- The Scotch whisky sector needs a good crop of malting barley this year and there aren't many alternatives to Scottish distilling barley. Rising whisky exports and falling spirit stocks indicate good demand for malting barley this harvest. In addition stocks of malting barley in Scotland are understood to be low going into harvest. This puts extra pressure on the 2018 harvest delivering the necessary quality and yield.
- While spring barley sowings are higher this year in Scotland, late sowing and a dry early growing season have left concerns over yield and quality. If the crop is poorer than average it is likely maltsters will widen acceptable specification for example on nitrogen levels to ensure as much of the local crop can be used as possible. The

dominance of brewing varieties elsewhere in Europe and England, and the fact that Scandinavia has suffered the same dry spring as Scotland, limits options to import malting barley if the Scottish supply is tight.

• Grain distilling sector vital to Scottish wheat prices, need to compete against maize. In 2017/18 wheat prices in Scotland and the north of England have been at a strong premium to the English average (+£6/t). This has been due to strong ethanol demand in the north of England and strong distilling demand in Scotland. The Edinburgh North British distillery switched from maize to wheat in summer of 2017 adding around 12,000t of wheat demand per month to Scottish demand. However, a reported lack of consistency in spirit yield from Scottish wheat is likely to have been one factor prompting North British to return to using maize in spring 2018. To secure this vital local market, in future more emphasis needs placed on growing only the most suitable wheat varieties for distilling.

#### Key Recommendations

- Crops in the ground this autumn will be marketed into a post Brexit market environment – good or bad. You can now sell grain forward post-Brexit through to May 2020. Start limiting your exposure. Consider covering the cost of your inputs by selling 20-30% of your crops forward this autumn sowing time.
- Focus on local markets that will need supplied irrespective of Brexit outcome
  - Malting and distilling have one of the most robust outlooks of any sector prioritise wheat and barley varieties that fully meet this sector's needs.
  - Speak to your local buyers; maltster, feed mill, livestock farmer and find out what they want and how you can supply it.

# Site information

Winter Barley		dotano	······································	••••••	····· <del>·</del> ······························		
			PREVIO				
GRID REF	NS9053	NS905380 PREVIOUS CROPPING:					
ELEVATION	230m		1 YEAR AGO		Winter Wheat		
SOIL TEXTURE	Loam		2 YEAR	2 YEARS AGO		Winter Wheat	
SOIL SERIES			3 YEARS AGO			Spring barley	
SOIL ANALYSIS:							
рН	6.0	6.0					
Ρ	3.82(Lov	3.82(Low)					
K	84.5(Mo	d -)	PLOT S	IZE	10m x 2m		
Mg	197(Moc	d)					
S	9.4(Mod		SEED R	ATE	360/m <sup>2</sup>		
Mn							
Cu							
Organic Matter	6.22%						
WINTER BARLEY	<b>Y ROUTIN</b>	E APPLI	CATIONS	5			
					:		
Date sown					28 Sep 17		
	a) N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> O	S	28 Sep 17 DATE	GROWTH	
Date sown FERTILISER (Kg/H	<b>a) N</b> 0	<b>P₂O₅</b> 60	<b>K₂O</b> 60	<b>S</b> 0		GROWTH STAGE 11-12	
	a)				DATE	STAGE	
	<b>a)</b> 0	60	60	0	<b>DATE</b> 12.12.17	<b>STAGE</b> 11-12	
	a) 0 60	60 0	60 0	0	DATE 12.12.17 20.3.18	<b>STAGE</b> 11-12 15-22	
	a) 0 60	60 0 0	60 0 0	0	DATE 12.12.17 20.3.18	STAGE 11-12 15-22 24 GROWTH	
	a) 0 60 90 RATI	60 0 0	60 0 0 PRO	0 14 25	DATE 12.12.17 20.3.18 23.04.18 DATE	STAGE     11-12     15-22     24     GROWTH     STAGE	
FERTILISER (Kg/H	a) 0 60 90 RATI 42 g/	60 0 0 E	60 0 0 PRO	0 14 25 DUCT	DATE 12.12.17 20.3.18 23.04.18 DATE 4.5.18	STAGE     11-12     15-22     24     GROWTH     STAGE     30-31	
FERTILISER (Kg/H	a) 0 60 90 RATI	60 0 0 E	60 0 0 PRO	0 14 25 DUCT	DATE 12.12.17 20.3.18 23.04.18 DATE	STAGE     11-12     15-22     24     GROWTH     STAGE	
FERTILISER (Kg/H	a) 0 60 90 <b>RATI</b> 42 g/ 0.6 l/	60 0 0 E ha	60 0 0 PRO Ally Duplo	0 14 25 DUCT	DATE 12.12.17 20.3.18 23.04.18 DATE 4.5.18 4.5.18	STAGE     11-12     15-22     24     GROWTH     STAGE     30-31     30-31	
FERTILISER (Kg/H	a) 0 60 90 <b>RATI</b> 42 g/ 0.6 l/ 0.5 l/	60 0 0 E ha ha	60 0 0 PRO Ally Duplo Prolir	0 14 25 DUCT	DATE 12.12.17 20.3.18 23.04.18 DATE 4.5.18	STAGE     11-12     15-22     24     GROWTH     STAGE     30-31     30-31     30-31	
FERTILISER (Kg/H	a) 0 60 90 <b>RATI</b> 42 g/ 0.6 l/ 0.5 l/	60 0 0 E ha ha ha	60 0 0 PRO Ally Duplo Prolir Spigo	0 14 25 DUCT DSan	DATE 12.12.17 20.3.18 23.04.18 DATE 4.5.18 4.5.18 4.5.18 4.5.18 4.5.18	STAGE     11-12     15-22     24     GROWTH     STAGE     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31	
FERTILISER (Kg/H	a) 0 60 90 <b>RATI</b> 42 g/ 0.6 l/ 0.5 l/ 1.0 l/	60 0 0 E ha ha ha ha	60 0 0 PRO Ally Duplo Prolir Spigo Bravo	0 14 25 DUCT DUCT	DATE   12.12.17   20.3.18   23.04.18   DATE   4.5.18   4.5.18   4.5.18   4.5.18   24.5.18	STAGE     11-12     15-22     24     GROWTH     STAGE     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31	
FERTILISER (Kg/H	a) 0 60 90 <b>RATI</b> 42 g/ 0.6 l/ 0.5 l/	60 0 0 E ha ha ha ha	60 0 0 PRO Ally Duplo Prolir Spigo	0 14 25 DUCT DUCT	DATE 12.12.17 20.3.18 23.04.18 DATE 4.5.18 4.5.18 4.5.18 4.5.18 4.5.18	STAGE     11-12     15-22     24     GROWTH     STAGE     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31	
FERTILISER (Kg/H	a) 0 60 90 <b>RATI</b> 42 g/ 0.6 l/ 0.5 l/ 1.0 l/	60 0 0 E ha ha ha ha	60 0 0 PRO Ally Duplo Prolir Spigo Bravo	0 14 25 DUCT DUCT	DATE   12.12.17   20.3.18   23.04.18   DATE   4.5.18   4.5.18   4.5.18   4.5.18   24.5.18	STAGE     11-12     15-22     24     GROWTH     STAGE     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31	
FERTILISER (Kg/H	a) 0 60 90 <b>RATI</b> 42 g/ 0.6 l/ 0.5 l/ 1.0 l/	60 0 0 E ha ha ha ha ha	60 0 0 PRO Ally Duplo Prolir Spigo Bravo	0 14 25 DUCT	DATE   12.12.17   20.3.18   23.04.18   DATE   4.5.18   4.5.18   4.5.18   4.5.18   24.5.18	STAGE     11-12     15-22     24     GROWTH     STAGE     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31	
FERTILISER (Kg/H	a) 0 60 90 RATI 42 g/ 0.6 l/ 0.5 l/ 0.5 l/ 1.0 l/ 1.5 l/	60 0 0 E ha ha ha ha ha	60 0 0 Ally Duplo Prolir Spigo Bravo Priax	0 14 25 DUCT	DATE 12.12.17 20.3.18 23.04.18 DATE 4.5.18 4.5.18 4.5.18 4.5.18 24.5.18 24.5.18 24.5.18	STAGE     11-12     15-22     24     GROWTH     STAGE     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-31     30-45     39-45	

Spring Barley -	field	details	and ag	ronomy		
GRID REF	NS906380		PREVIOUS CROPPING:			
	230m		1 YEAR AGO		Grass	
	Loam		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2 YEARS AGO		
SOIL SERIES			3 YEARS AGO		Grass Grass	
SOIL ANALYSIS:						
рН	5.6					
	9.01(Mod-)					
K	66.5(Low)		PLOT SIZE		10m x 2m	
Mg	123(Mod)					
			SEED R	ATE	360/m <sup>2</sup>	
Mn	9.7(Mod	)				
Cu	*					
Organic Matter	8.42%					
SPRING BARLEY R		E APPLIC	CATIONS			
Date sown					12 Apr 18	
FERTILISER (Kg/Ha)	N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K₂O	S	DATE	GROWTH STAGE
	60	60	60	0	23.4.18	Pre em
	35	0	0	0	23.4.18	Pre em
	RAT	E	PRODUCT		DATE	GROWTH STAGE
HERBICIDE:	RBICIDE:   60 g/ha   Harmony MSX     0.6 l/ha   Compitox Plus		ony MSX	24.5.18	15-22	
			itox Plus	24.5.18	15-22	
1.25 l/h		l/ha	Highload Mircam		24.5.18	15-22
			÷			
FUNGICIDE	1.0 l/ha Bravo			4.6.18	25-30	
			4.6.18 4.6.18	25-30		
	0.3 l/	0.3 l/ha		Cyflamid		25-30
	1.2 l/	1.2 l/ha		Priaxor		39-59
2.		2.0 l/ha		Bravo		39-59
PGR						
OTHER	510 k	510 kg/ha		orill	1.5.18	13
		1.0 l/ha		Calciprill Manganese		15-22
			·····		14.5.18	

## **Trials demonstrated**

### Winter barley varieties: AHDB Recommended List

[AHDB and Scottish Government funded]

- Two-row feed varieties should be compared with KWS Cassia, recognised for its high specific weight. Other established varieties include KWS Glacier and KWS Tower. KWS Orwell, KWS Cresswell and Surge are the latest in a series of high yielding tworow varieties.
- Six-rows on the AHDB list are dominated by hybrids. Sunningdale and the new hybrid variety Belmont are high yielding, with the conventional six-row, Funky being competitive on yield with the hybrids.

The full list of winter barley varieties is available as a separate hand out.

## Spring barley varieties: AHDB Recommended List

[AHDB and Scottish Government funded]

- Concerto is the clear market leader for malting in Scotland, supported by Odyssey and Belgravia, with Laureate likely to become increasingly important in 2018.
- Octavia, Sienna and KWS Sassy also have Full Approval from the IBD, but their future market uptake is uncertain. Check seed supply and maltsters' requirements for 2019.
- Waggon and Scholar are preferred feed options, though Waggon is now longer in trials. Propino is also often grown as a high yielding feed variety. Likewise, new high-yielding malting varieties are good options.
- KWS Irina and RGT Planet are high yielding brewing varieties that make good choices for feed use.
- LG Tomahawk and LG Diablo are currently being evaluated for malting use.

The full list of spring (and winter) barley varieties is available as a separate hand out.

#### Wheat varieties

 Soft wheat varieties in nabim Group 4 remain the most important choices for Scottish growers and are potentially suitable for distilling. The established varieties are Leeds, Myriad, Viscount and Revelation, supported by the newer varieties LG Motown, LG Sundance, Hardwicke, Moulton and Savello. Two new varieties; Elation and KWS Jackal are now on the recommended list.

- In nabim Group 3 for biscuit-making variety, there is only one variety that suits distilling, this is Zulu. Note that the biscuit-making varieties KWS Barrel, KWS Basset and the new variety Elicit are rated poor for distilling.
- For hard feed wheat varieties, the best options include Grafton and Evolution. The newer varieties Freiston, Dunston and Shabras show promise as high yielding options, with the addition of RGT Gravity and Gleam to the recommended list for 2018/19.
- The requirement for Scottish-grown milling wheat is small, but high yielding varieties in nabim Groups 1 and 2 can be considered for feed use. These include: Skyfall, KWS Trinity, KWS Siskin and KWS Lili. There are no new varieties in this group for 2018/19.

The full list of wheat varieties is available as a separate hand out.

#### Winter Barley Fungicide Trials

Winter barley trials have battled Rhynchosporium since the autumn and although mildew has been seen in some of the trials the major disease remains Rhynchosporium at this site. Fungicide inputs can be tailored in an integrated way to suit the varietal ratings and disease pressure in individual crops but are essential to maintain barley yield as current resistance in winter barley is at best middling, ranging from 7 to 4 with six row varieties slightly more resistant than two row varieties. The Lanark site has one of the AHDB fungicide performance trials so the effect of fungicides on disease development is monitored on an annual basis. There are reports of the appearance of strobilurin resistant isolates of Rhynchosporium in Ireland in recent years, so careful monitoring is required. SDHI fungicides remain particularly effective against Rhynchosporium (especially in a protectant situation) but other options based on triazole/strobilurin mixes are available. Research at SRUC has shown that control of Rhynchosporium at GS31 is vital to protect yield. A number of spray programmes are demonstrated

Ramularia is coming into trials now. SRUC testing of 2106 isolates indicates a shift in sensitivity to triazole and SDHI fungicides. Field results from 2017 indicated a lack of control from these fungicides. The importance on an anti-resistance strategy will be discussed but for Ramularia leaf spot control Chlorothalonil is a vital component of a T2 spray.

#### Spring Barley Fungicide Trials

Spring barley crops this season often got off to a late start and as a consequence have leapt through the growth stages. This means that there has been a reduced disease pressure at early growth stages but the trials show that some early treatment is beneficial in keeping crops clean until the booting sprays at T2. If diseases like rhynchosporium get established in the gap then it is hard / impossible to row back from that and harsh treatment at T2 can do more harm than good as it can stress the crop and make ramularia worse. There are various concerns about fungicide resistance in barley diseases - septoria hogs the press so some of these issues in barley have been under reported.

More integrated practices such as the use of resistant varieties and the use of fungicides tailored to the disease risks in a field can be a win:win in terms of stewarding fungicides and giving cost effective yield responses. Alternatives to fungicides such as biological controls and the use of elicitors that prime barley plants to defend themselves may become more important and the trials here look at some of the novel seed treatment/elicitor combinations that are amongst the most likely of these alternative contenders. The trials let you see how conventional chemistry has fared and also how these alternatives can be integrated into programmes. Results from 2017 indicated both an elicitor and biological seed treatment combined with fungicides and elicitor/reduced rate fungicides controlled Ramularia leaf spot and increased yield in Propino and controlled Rhynchosporium and increased yield in Laureate.

#### Using varietal mixtures as an IPM tool

Scottish winter barley crops are predominately grown for animal feed. The lack of emphasis on grain homogeneity make varietal mixtures a considerably more viable option than it would be for malting barley growers. Varietal mixtures may offer a solution to the problem of needing to maintain productivity in a reduced input system, however, testing of their ability to perform under reduced fungicide input has not been thoroughly invested. This study investigates whether combinations of winter barley varieties can achieve both high yields and high quality feed products (straw and grain) under a reduced fungicide programme. The approach will involve disease monitoring of crops to identify the presence and severity of diseases such as Rhynchosporium, Ramularia and powdery mildew. Mains of Loirston funding will be used to conduct field experiments at two sites in Scotland differing in disease pressure over three growing seasons to compare the suitability of different mixtures and monocultures for an IPM approach in which fungicides are used sparingly. This study, which is currently in its first year of trials, will provide the evidence needed to inform farmers on the potential suitability of barley mixtures to be incorporated into their production systems as part of an IPM approach.

## **Cover Crops and EFA Greening**

There is a continuing interest in the establishing of cover crops post-harvest when roots of the sown plants help to trap nutrients, limit nitrate leaching and reduce soil erosion. The roots keep the soil structure open and active during the autumn and winter with the resulting biomass releasing the trapped nutrient to the following crop and contribute to soil organic matter.

At the site several plots have been sown to demonstrate some of the plant species being used in mixtures.

Cover crops can also be used to meet EFA greening requirements and SRDP agrienvironment climate scheme options. To comply with EFA greening requirements, two or more species need to be sown before the 1st November (previously 1<sup>st</sup> October) and maintained until the 31st December (inclusive). The extended sowing date will help ease the pressure following a late harvest like 2017, however the benefits to be gained from later sowings are lower.

Previous in-field evaluations of post-harvest cover crops found a considerable range in crop biomass and unsurprisingly sowing date is critical. As a rough guide for Lothian's and Borders, the dry matter yield of root and top growth falls dramatically after mid-September with little contribution from small seed plants such as lucerne, vetch and phacelia sown at this timing. If late sowing mixtures need to feature large cereal seeds which are more able to establish and grow. The effects of latitude (as you move up the country) and to a lesser extent altitude (as you move up the hill) also need to be considered. Slug feeding and site fertility (residual nitrogen) are also factors affecting establishment and potential biomass yield.

Cover crops can pose a disease risk, allowing a green bridge for take-all and club root. A common ingredient of cover crop mixes is rye, due to it fast growing nature which creates a rapid cover. Unfortunately rye is a host for take-all, so a better choice for the cereal component of a mix would be oats, which are not affected by the same take-all species. Mustard is a host for clubroot, so if you have high value brassica crops or oilseed rape in the rotation it is best to stay clear of mustard and opt for radish or phacelia.

If the primary goal is to increase soil organic matter; chopping straw and importing bulky organic materials maybe a more cost effective option. For example 5 t/ha of hen manure delivers around 1.75t/ha of dry matter, where as some of the best autumn sown cover crops we measured produced a dry matter yield of 1.5t/ha. Once you factor in fertiliser contribution and the gross margin benefit of winter cereal the decision may be easy to make.

Cover crops do have a place and can provide many benefits to soil, pollinators and the water environment, but before venturing in growers need to consider carefully what it is they are trying to achieve.

#### Loss of neonicotinoid cereal seed treatments

The latest news on the future of the neonicotinoid cereal seed treatments confirms that they are likely to go by the end of 2018. This will have an impact on the management of Barley Yellow Dwarf Virus (BYDV) and slugs in autumn sown cereals from 2019 onwards. Clothianidin, which is the neonicotinoid in seed treatments like Deter, Redigo Deter and NipsIT INSIDE, will no longer be available after the end of this year. Consequently there will be a reliance on the use of pyrethroid insecticides for the management of aphids and the transmission of BYDV into cereals. Grain aphids in Scotland are demonstrating resistance to the pyrethroid aphicides. Consequently reliance on a pyrethroid aphicide spray alone is a risk as grain aphids may not be controlled.

The most effective way of managing the 'green-bridge' of aphids on volunteers and stubble is to kill off any aphid host plants before sowing. Ideally stubble from the previous

crop should be desiccated with a herbicide, ploughed in 7-10 days later and left for another 2 weeks before sowing the next crop. This will ensure that any aphid host plants will be dead and any aphids on them will have starved to death.

Alternatively, stubble can be ploughed in and sowing delayed for 4 weeks to allow enough time for plants to die along with the aphids.

Both of these approaches will reduce the threat from walking aphids by killing off their host plants that could keep the aphids (and BYDV) ticking over. Aphids are quite resilient and can work their way up to the soil surface after ploughing, so killing off the plants is essential so that they won't have anything to feed on underground.

A combined approach of tackling the 'green-bridge' and using an aphicide seed treatment (which is the 'last hurrah' for the seed treatments) on winter cereals is recommended this autumn, to reduce the risk of BYDV. Bear in mind that seed treatments are not available for spring cereals, so reducing the availability of BYDV reservoirs in winter cereals and volunteers/stubble, and aphids overwintering on winter cereals will reduce the risk to spring cereals, where a pyrethroid aphicide spray at early crop emergence is the only option currently available, and this won't take care of the grain aphid due to widespread resistance.

There is a new aphicide in the pipeline, so this issue may be temporary, but for the time being, a 'belts and braces' approach is recommended.

There are also some BYDV tolerant barley varieties currently being trialled by KWS (confusingly called Amistar, not to be confused with the fungicide) and Limagrain (Rafaela), so these and other BYDV tolerant varieties may be available in the next few years.

The useful effect of the neonicotinoid seed treatments on reducing seed hollowing by slugs will be lost after 2018, so use of slug pellets is likely to be the only option available. Generally, a pre-emergence application of slug pellets with a follow up post-emergence if damage is seen tends to do the job in both wheat and winter oilseed rape. However, further treatments may be necessary if the crop is slow to emerge or slug pressure is severe.

Ferric phosphate slug pellets are on a par with metaldehyde pellets in terms of efficacy – the slugs tend to crawl away to die so success of ferric phosphate pellets should be judged on reduction in plant damage, not dead slugs on the surface! With the restriction on use of metaldehyde within a minimum of 10 metres of any field boundary or watercourse, it would make sense to consider use of ferric phosphate products as an alternative, especially in fields adjacent to water courses.

### Acknowledgements

Thanks are due to:

J and J Bannatyne for the Lanark site at Drumalbin

SRUC Crop and Soil Systems Research Group acknowledge the Scottish Government's RESAS Strategic Research Programme for funding strategic research and for funding this knowledge exchange activity.

SEFARI (Scottish Environment, Food and Agriculture Research Institutes)

We also gratefully acknowledge the AHDB for funding R&D and recommended list trials and for partnering our technology transfer activities.

FAS – Farm Advisory Service funding for tonight's event

BASF have generously donated materials which have been used as husbandry inputs on this year's trials; Adexar, 3C Chlormequat 750, Crystal, Picona, Priaxor, Terpal and Tracker.

For further information about Scottish Government funded R&D at SRUC contact:

Crop & Soil Systems Research Group SRUC West Mains Road Edinburgh EH9 3JG Tel: 0131 535 4061

http://www.sruc.ac.uk/info/120062/crop\_and\_soils\_systems

http://www.sruc.ac.uk/crops